Comparative Genomics -Team 1 Final Results

Heather Patrick, Lawrence McKinney, Laura Mora, Manasa Vegesna, Kenji Gerhardt, Hira Anis

April 14, 2020

OUTLINE

- Objectives
- Proposed Pipeline
- Comparative Genomics Approach
- Final pipeline
- References

OBJECTIVES

Compare genome sequences using various bioinformatic tools to gather knowledge that will answer the following questions:

- 1. What is the identity of the species/strains that cause the outbreak?
- 2. How are the isolates related to each other? how do they differ?
- 3. Which isolates correspond to outbreak versus sporadic strains?
- 4. What are the virulence and antibiotic resistance profiles of the outbreak isolates?
- 5. What is the recommended outbreak response and treatment?



The Proposed Preliminary Pipeline



What is the identity of the strains that cause the outbreak?

MUMMER-4.0 Result



7

How are the isolates related to each other? How do they differ?

MLST Analysis

- Used chewBBACA to create a schema and do allele calling on the assembled genomes of the 50 isolates
- Initial results were visualized using Grapetree before doing deeper epidemiological analysis



Identified cluster outbreak isolates pictured in purple



Initial Impressions

- We decided to contextualize our other results with the epidata
- To this end, we tried a few visualizations, but ended up keeping one:
- To the right:
 - X-axis: State of sample
 - Y-axis: Date of sample
- We seem to have 3 outbreaks
 - similar chronologically
 - GA, MT, WA



MLST results

- Several tools were tried; MLST produced clear results early on
- To the right:
 - X axis: MLST loci
 - Y axis: Samples
- Our interpretation:
 - 3 clusters:
 - Outbreak
 - Sporadic 1
 - Sporadic 2



A deeper look

- We ran the appropriate data through strain analysis and incorporated functional annotation results
- MLST results perfectly supported what appeared from the epidata - an outbreak strain and perhaps a few sporadic strains
- United on 3 foods: Melons, chorizo, and bananas



Epidata finale

- With clear strains, possessed of clear genetic relatedness, the question was whether they were treatable in a similar fashion
- Yes.
- All strains shared a base ARG set, according to deepARG
- The outbreak strain was (fortunately) identical on this basis, and was quite vulnerable.
- Phenicol and sulfonamides both work on the outbreak strain



SNP Analysis

- Used **kSNP 3.0** to analyze and determine SNPs across the 50 isolates
- kSNP uses k-mer analysis and the appropriate k-mer size for our dataset was 19.
- FCK: 0.422 (measure of sequence diversity)
- built phylogenetic trees to understand the diversity among the isolates



Phylogenetic Tree estimated using Parsimony Method



What are the virulence of the outbreak isolates?

Virulence Profile

| Gene* | Allele | Length (bp) | Description |
|------------------------|--------|-------------|---|
| b0557 (iss) | 8 | 294 | Increased Serum Survival (ISS) Protein |
| ECO26_RS04705 (cif) | 4 | 830 | Effector Protein (Type III) |
| efa1 | 7 | 9672 | Adhesin Protein |
| nleA | 1 | 1221 | Effector Protein |

What is the recommended outbreak response and treatment?

Outbreak Response

Outbreak resistances

- The outbreak strain has a relatively limited ARG profile
- Although some drugs may be able to treat all strains, inhibiting the selection of ARG response to new drugs is wise
- Our results recommend the use of an antibiotic of either the phenicol or sulfonamide class
 - resistances exist to these in the sporadic, but not the outbreak strains
- Preemptively suggest recalls of chorizo, banana, and melon from stores

- aminoglycoside
- bacitracin
- beta-lactam
- diaminopyrimidine
- fluoroquinolone
- fosmidomycin
- macrolide
- peptide
- tetracycline

References

- 1. Maiden MC, Jansen van Rensburg MJ, Bray JE, et al. MLST revisited: the gene-by-gene approach to bacterial genomics. *Nat Rev Microbiol.* 2013;11(10):728-36.
- 2. Marçais G, Delcher AL, Phillippy AM, Coston R, Salzberg SL, et al. (2018) MUMmer4: A fast and versatile genome alignment system. PLOS Computational Biology 14(1): e1005944. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005944
- 3. Perez-Losada M, Arenas M, Castro-Nallar E. Microbial sequence typing in the genomic era. Infection, Genetics and Evolution. 2018;63:346-359. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2017.09.022</u>
- Strockbine N, Bopp C, Fields P, Kaper J, Nataro J. 2015. Escherichia, Shigella, and Salmonella, p 685-713. In Jorgensen J, Pfaller M, Carroll K, Funke G, Landry M, Richter S, Warnock D (ed), Manual of Clinical Microbiology, Eleventh Edition. ASM Press, Washington, DC. doi: 10.1128/9781555817381.ch37
- 5. Sultan, I., Rahman, S., Jan, A. T., Siddiqui, M. T., Mondal, A. H., & Haq, Q. M. R. (2018). Antibiotics, Resistome and Resistance Mechanisms: A Bacterial Perspective. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *9*(2066). doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.02066
- Trees E, Rota P, Maccannell D, Gerner-smidt P. Molecular Epidemiology, p 131-159. *In* Jorgensen J, Pfaller M, Carroll K, Funke G, Landry M, Richter S, Warnock D (ed), *Manual of Clinical Microbiology, Eleventh Edition*. ASM Press, Washington, DC. 2015. doi: 10.1128/9781555817381.ch10
- 7. Silva M, Machado M, Silva D, Rossi M, Moran-Gilad J, Santos S, Ramirez M, Carriço J. 15/03/2018. M Gen 4(3): doi:10.1099/mgen.0.000166
- 8. Z Zhou, NF Alikhan, MJ Sergeant, N Luhmann, C Vaz, AP Francisco, JA Carrico, M Achtman (2018) "GrapeTree: Visualization of core genomic relationships among 100,000 bacterial pathogens", Genome Res; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.232397.117